International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics
© World Scientific Publishing Company

arXiv:1402.0500v1 [quant-ph] 3 Feb 2014

ENTANGLEMENT AND NON-TRIVIAL TOPOLOGIES

THIAGO PRUDÊNCIO

Institute of Physics, University of Brasilia -UnB, CP: 04455, 70919-970, Brasilia-DF, Brazil.
Corresponding e-mail: thprudencio@gmail.com

DIEGO JULIO CIRILO-LOMBARDO

International Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, 1722, 59078-400, Natal-RN, Brazil.
Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics Joint Institute for Nuclear Research 141980, Dubna-Moscow, Russia.
Corresponding e-mail:diego777jcl@gmail.com

We consider states defined on non-trivial topologies of Torus, Mobius and Torus-Mobius. Adequate operators leading to the construction of coherent states, two-mode coherent states and entangled states are derived and we show a class of entangled states on Torus that are related to the entanglement of photons by orbital angular momentum states.

Keywords: entanglement; torus; mobius.

1. Introduction

States in manifolds with non-trivial topologies and entanglement involving such states have close implications to quantum information [1,2,3,4,5,6,7] and quantum computing [8,9,10,11,12]. More specifically, generation of coherent states and their superpositions (cat states) [13,14] or and ther entanglement (as EPR states [15]) in intricate geometrical or topological configurations can give rise to interesting effects. Recent developments of topological qubits [16,17], quantum optics in curved space-time [18], topological defects [19], graphene and topological isulators [20,21, 22,23] have increased the interest in systems with non-trivial topologies. Here we concentrate on Torus and Möbius topologies.

Different from the known coherent state elaborations for quantum optics [24, 25,26,27], in non-trivial manifolds, coherent states acquire topological properties, with consequences that affect periodicity and normalization. This is the case of non-trivial manifolds with topologies of Torus [28,29] and Mobius strip [30].

We remark that there is no general method for a construction of coherent states for a particle on an arbitrary manifold, specially when the space is described in a non-trivial topology [31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39]. A general algorithm was introduced by Perelomov [40] for construction of coherent states for homogeneous spaces X which are quotients $X = G/H$ of a Lie-group manifold G and the stability sub-

group H. Unfortunately, in many interesting cases for physics as a particle on a circle, sphere or torus, the phase space whose points should label the coherent states, more precisely a cotangent bundle $T*M$, where M is the configuration space, is not a homogeneous space. A general theory of coherent states when the configuration space has non-trivial topology is far from complete. In view of the lack of the general method for the construction of coherent states one is forced to study each case of a particle on a concrete manifold separately.

It is worth to point out that coherent states for a quantum particle on circle, sphere and torus have been introduced very recently [28]. In such cases different constructions of the coherent states (CS) in the boson case are practically straightforward, and a simple addition by hand of $1/2$ to the angular momentum operator J for the fermionic case into the corresponding CS remains obscure and non-natural. The question that naturally arises is if there exists any geometry for the phase space in which the CS construction leads precisely to a fermionic quantization condition. In a recent work [30], we demonstrated the positive answer to this question showing that the CS for a quantum particle on the Mobius strip geometry is the natural candidate to describe fermions exactly as the cylinder geometry for bosons.

In this paper, we investigate the entanglement of coherent states on a Torus, Mobius strip and Torus-Mobius, i.e., in the case where two-particle states, one in the Torus and other in Mobius, are entangled in the intersection of the two manifolds. Taking into account the consistent operators acting in each space and their action on states, we consider the generation of entangled states and non-orthogonal projective measurements, a generalization of von-Neumann type measurement, to quantify entanglement. We also consider the topological and geometrical consequences associated to CS in Torus and Mobius and the relations of the toroidal operators and entangled torus states to $SU(1,1)$.

2. States on a torus and operators $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$

We can start with a revision of the quantum mechanics on a Torus. Such situation, in principle, can be identified with product of two circles, this implies, following [28], that we can define the algebra

$$[J_i, U_j] = \delta_{ij} U_j, \tag{1}$$

$$\left[J_i, U_j^\dagger\right] = -\delta_{ij} U_j^\dagger, \tag{2}$$

$$[J_i, J_j] = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$[U_i, U_j] = \left[U_i^\dagger, U_j^\dagger\right] = \left[U_i, U_j^\dagger\right] = 0, \tag{4}$$

where $U_i = e^{i\hat{\phi}_i}$ and $U_i^\dagger = e^{-i\hat{\phi}_i}$. Taking $i, j = 1, 2$, and $\vec{J} = (J_1, J_2)$, $\vec{j} = (j_1, j_2)$, the eigenvalue equation is written as

$$\vec{J}|\vec{j}\rangle = \vec{j}|\vec{j}\rangle. \tag{5}$$

where $|\vec{j}\rangle$ are ladder operators that satisfy

$$e^{i\hat{\phi}_j}|\vec{j}\rangle = |\vec{j}+\vec{e}_j\rangle,$$
$$e^{-i\hat{\phi}_j}|\vec{j}\rangle = |\vec{j}-\vec{e}_j\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where $\vec{e}_1 = (1,0)$ and $\vec{e}_2 = (0,1)$. Consequently, starting from a vector $|\vec{j}_0\rangle$, and $j_{0i} \in [0,1)$, $i = 1,2$, a Hilbert space can be generated by a set of vectors $\{|\vec{j}\rangle\}$. The antiunitary operator of time inversion T can be also defined by means of the relations

$$TJ_iT^{-1} = -J_i \tag{7}$$
$$Te^{i\hat{\phi}_i}T^{-1} = e^{-i\hat{\phi}_i} \tag{8}$$
$$Te^{-i\hat{\phi}_i}T^{-1} = e^{i\hat{\phi}_i} \tag{9}$$

This operator is symmetric and the action on $|\vec{j}\rangle$ is given by

$$T|\vec{j}\rangle = |-\vec{j}\rangle. \tag{10}$$

As a consequence $\vec{j}_0$ can take only four possible values $(0,0)$, $(0,\frac{1}{2})$, $(\frac{1}{2},0)$ and $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$. The relation between $|\vec{j}\rangle$ and $|\vec{\phi}\rangle$ is given by

$$\langle\vec{\phi}|\vec{j}\rangle = e^{i\vec{j}\cdot\vec{\phi}} \tag{11}$$

In the coordinate representation of the states on a torus, the following eigenvalue equation is satisfied

$$e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}_i}|\vec{\phi}\rangle = e^{\pm i\phi_i}|\vec{\phi}\rangle, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\phi_1 d\phi_2}{(2\pi)^2}|\vec{\phi}\rangle\langle\vec{\phi}| = I. \tag{13}$$

Now, let us define the following operator $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i$ that mixes operators $e^{i\hat{\phi}_i}$ and T,

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i = \left(e^{i\hat{\phi}_i}T + \left(e^{i\hat{\phi}_i}T\right)^{-1}\right). \tag{14}$$

The action of this operator on $|\vec{j}\rangle$ will generate superposition states of the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i|\vec{j}\rangle = |-\vec{j}+\vec{e}_i\rangle + |-\vec{j}-\vec{e}_i\rangle \tag{15}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^2|\vec{j}\rangle = 2|\vec{j}\rangle, \tag{16}$$

As a consequence the even exponents of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i$ are eigenvectors of $|\vec{j}\rangle$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^{2n}|\vec{j}\rangle = 2^n|\vec{j}\rangle \tag{17}$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^{2n+1}|\vec{j}\rangle = 2^n\left(|-\vec{j}+\vec{e}_i\rangle + |-\vec{j}-\vec{e}_i\rangle\right) \tag{18}$$

We have then

$$e^{\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i}|\vec{j}\rangle = \cosh(2)|\vec{j}\rangle + \sinh(2)\left(|-\vec{j}+\vec{e}_i\rangle + |-\vec{j}-\vec{e}_i\rangle\right). \tag{19}$$

3. Relation of the toroidal operators $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ and $SU(1,1)$

We have been seen in previous references, the action of the creation and annihilation operators corresponding to the generators of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra with the basic coherent states of the non-compact oscillator is given as follows

$$a^{2n+1}\left|+\right\rangle = \alpha^{2n+1}\left|-\right\rangle \tag{20}$$

$$a^{2n}\left|+\right\rangle = \alpha^{2n}\left|+\right\rangle \tag{21}$$

and $e^a|+\rangle = \cosh(\alpha)|+\rangle + \sinh(\alpha)|-\rangle$, with α the corresponding eigenvalue of the coherent state.

The importance of such construction is because it describes a unitary representation of the $SL(2R) \sim SU(1,1) \sim SO(2,1)$ group that is of infinite dimension. This representattion was used in the case of coherent states in noncompact oscilatrs and also in Supergravity models and strings.This specific action of the operators $a^{2n}\left(a^{2n+1}\right)$over the basic states $|+\rangle\,(|-\rangle)$ is clearly isomorphous with the action of the$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i$ operators defined on the torus making the identification

$$|\vec{j}\rangle \rightarrow |+\rangle\,, \tag{22}$$

$$\left(|-\vec{j}+\vec{e}_i\rangle + |-\vec{j}-\vec{e}_i\rangle\right) \rightarrow |-\rangle\,, \tag{23}$$

and also $2\rightarrow\ \alpha$. This operator $\mathcal{M}$ make a cross over from a compact to a non compact structure of the of the geometry of the configuration space. This point is extreamely important to explain topologically and geometrically speaking the metal/superconductor-insulator transition. There exists, for instance, a clear possibility to map the SUGRA (Supergravity) model [41] into the Torus due the specific action of the operators $\mathcal{M}$. A concrete adaptation of the model to metal isulator-transition and SUGRA-Torus correspondence will be the scope of a future work [**?**].

In particular, let us first propose an entangled state based on such states

$$|+\rangle|-\rangle + |-\rangle|+\rangle, \tag{24}$$

we will show that there is an explicit operator capable of realize the building of such state.

Here we can only analyse the following properties. The change under the action of ab, where b has the same action of the operator a, is the following

$$ab\left(|+\rangle_a|-\rangle_b + |-\rangle_a|+\rangle_b\right), = \left(|-\rangle_a|+\rangle_b + |+\rangle_a|-\rangle_b\right).$$

Then, the state is invariant under ab. We can also check the action under ab^2 and a^2b,

$$ab^2\left(|+\rangle_a|-\rangle_b + |-\rangle_a|+\rangle_b\right) = \left(|-\rangle_a|-\rangle_b + |+\rangle_a|+\rangle_b\right),$$

$$a^2b\left(|+\rangle_a|-\rangle_b + |-\rangle_a|+\rangle_b\right) = \left(|+\rangle_a|+\rangle_b + |-\rangle_a|-\rangle_b\right).$$

Such that this state generate two equivalent and entangled states.

4. Coherent states on Torus and Mobius

The coherent states on a Torus are defined from the relation $\vec{Z}|\vec{z}\rangle = \vec{z}|\vec{z}\rangle$, where $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\vec{Z} = (Z_1, Z_2)$ and $Z_i = e^{-J_i+\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\hat{\phi}_i}, z_j^{(Torus)} = e^{-l_j+i\alpha_j}$. The relation to the states $|\vec{j}\rangle$ is given by

$$\langle\vec{j}|\vec{z}\rangle = z_1^{-j_1} z_2^{-j_2} e^{-\vec{j}^2/2}. \tag{25}$$

The coherent states can be explicitly written in terms of $|\vec{j}\rangle$, using $\sum_{\vec{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} |\vec{j}\rangle\langle\vec{j}| = I$, by means of

$$|\vec{z}\rangle^{(Torus)} = \sum_{\vec{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} z_1^{-j_1} z_2^{-j_2} e^{-\vec{j}^2/2} |\vec{j}\rangle, \tag{26}$$

that can also be written as

$$|\vec{z}\rangle^{(Torus)} = \sum_{\vec{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} e^{\vec{l}\cdot\vec{j}-i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{j}} e^{-\vec{j}^2/2} |\vec{j}\rangle. \tag{27}$$

The normalization conditions of (26) are product of Jacobi theta functions, here we consider only the case $(0, 0)$, that is given by

$$\langle\vec{z}|\vec{z'}\rangle = \Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\ln(z_1^* z_1')|\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)\Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{\pi}\ln(z_2^* z_2')|\frac{i}{\pi}\right).$$

Now, we define two-mode coherent states by means of the product state

$$|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{j},\vec{j'}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} z_1^{-j_1} z_2^{-j_2} w_1^{-j_1'} w_2^{-j_2'} e^{-\vec{j}^2/2} e^{-\vec{j'}^2/2} |\vec{j},\vec{j'}\rangle, \tag{28}$$

where $w_j = e^{-l_j'+i\alpha_j'}$. We can also write this state as

$$|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{j},\vec{j'}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} e^{\vec{l}\cdot\vec{j}+\vec{l'}\cdot\vec{j'}-i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{j}-i\vec{\alpha'}\cdot\vec{j'}} e^{-(\vec{j}^2+\vec{j'}^2)/2} |\vec{j},\vec{j'}\rangle,$$

where the relations the eigenvalue relations are written as

$$Z_i|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = z_i|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle, \tag{29}$$

$$W_i|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = w_i|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle, \tag{30}$$

$W_i = e^{-J_i'+\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\hat{\phi}_i'}$ and the normalization factor

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\vec{z},\vec{w}|\vec{z'},\vec{w'}\rangle = {} & \Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\ln(z_1^* z_1')|\frac{i}{\pi}\right) \\ & \times \Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\ln(z_2^* z_2')|\frac{i}{\pi}\right) \\ & \times \Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\ln(w_1^* w_1')|\frac{i}{\pi}\right) \\ & \times \Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\ln(w_2^* w_2')|\frac{i}{\pi}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The action of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i$ on a two-mode coherent state for operators $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^z$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^w$ acting in each mode, we will have the following relations for even exponents

$$\left(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^z\right)^{2n}|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = 2^n|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle, \tag{32}$$

$$\left(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^w\right)^{2n}|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = 2^n|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle. \tag{33}$$

It follows that the two-mode coherent states from the torus are eigenstates of the even exponents of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^z$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^w$.

The coherent states can be reduced from the Torus to Mobius strip by means of a constraint between the angle variables

$$\theta = \frac{\phi+\pi}{2}. \tag{34}$$

we will describe this more appropriatelly in a section below. Once we have the a Mobius strip parametrization, a deformation can be applied by means of following transformation

$$X'^{(Mobius)} = e^{-\mathcal{Z}}X^{(Mobius)}, \tag{35}$$

$$Y'^{(Mobius)} = e^{-\mathcal{Z}}Y^{(Mobius)}, \tag{36}$$

$$Z'^{(Mobius)} = Z^{(Mobius)}, \tag{37}$$

that does not change the topological properties of the Mobius strip. In this way a coherent state associated to the Mobius strip [30] is defined by means of $\vec{Z}^{(Mobius)}|\vec{z}\rangle = \vec{z}^{(Mobius)}|\vec{z}\rangle$, where $\vec{z}^{(Mobius)} = (z_1^{(Mobius)}, z_2^{(Mobius)}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. $Z_i^{(Mobius)}$ acts in the i mode of $|\vec{z}\rangle$ and

$$z_i^{(Mobius)} = e^{-(l_i + r\sin(\phi_i/2))+i\phi_i}\left(1 + r\cos\left(\phi_i/2\right)\right). \tag{38}$$

5. Entangled states on Torus

Let us consider the action of the following operators

$$\hat{D}_{ik}^n = \left(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^z\right)^{2n}\left(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k^w\right)^{2n+1} + \left(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_i^w\right)^{2n}\left(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k^z\right)^{2n+1}$$

on the states $|\vec{j},\vec{j'}\rangle$, where $n = 0, 1, ...$ is are integer values,. This will be given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{D}_{ik}^n|\vec{j},\vec{j'}\rangle = {} & 2^{2n}|\vec{j}\rangle\left(|-\vec{j'}+\vec{e'}_k\rangle + |-\vec{j'}-\vec{e'}_k\rangle\right) \\ & + 2^{2n}\left(|-\vec{j}+\vec{e}_i\rangle + |-\vec{j}-\vec{e}_i\rangle\right)|\vec{j'}\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Note that this state is equivalent to the state $|+\rangle|-\rangle + |-\rangle|+\rangle$, discussed previously. This state is built in a consistent way from the state $|\vec{j},\vec{j'}\rangle$ by means of the action of the operator $\hat{D}_{ik}^n$. In particular, the operators a and b discussed previously are in fact actions of the operator $\mathcal{M}_i$ that in appropriate conditions leave the state invariant, i.e., in the forms a^2b and ab^2. Such operators are important when we search operators that leave a bipartite entangled state invariant [42].



Fig. 1. (Color online) two-particle trajectories on Torus.

Now, let us consider the bipartite coherent state for a torus,

$$\hat{D}^n_{ik}|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{j},\vec{j'}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} e^{\vec{l}\cdot\vec{j}+\vec{l'}\cdot\vec{j'}-i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{j}-i\vec{\alpha'}\cdot\vec{j'}} e^{-(\vec{j}^2+\vec{j'}^2)/2}\hat{D}^n_{ik}|\vec{j},\vec{j'}\rangle, \tag{40}$$

this can be written as

$$\hat{D}^n_{ik}|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle = 2^{2n}\sum_{\vec{j},\vec{j'}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} e^{\vec{l}\cdot\vec{j}+\vec{l'}\cdot\vec{j'}-i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{j}-i\vec{\alpha'}\cdot\vec{j'}} e^{-(\vec{j}^2+\vec{j'}^2)/2}|\vec{j}\rangle\left(|-\vec{j'}+\vec{e'}_k\rangle+|-\vec{j'}-\vec{e'}_k\rangle\right)$$
$$+\,2^{2n}\sum_{\vec{j},\vec{j'}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} e^{\vec{l}\cdot\vec{j}+\vec{l'}\cdot\vec{j'}-i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{j}-i\vec{\alpha'}\cdot\vec{j'}} e^{-(\vec{j}^2+\vec{j'}^2)/2}\left(|-\vec{j}+\vec{e}_i\rangle+|-\vec{j}-\vec{e}_i\rangle\right)|\vec{j'}\rangle \tag{41}$$

The case where $|-\vec{j'}\pm\vec{e'}_k\rangle = |-\vec{j'}\rangle \pm |\vec{e'}_k\rangle$, we can write

$$\hat{D}^n_{ik}|\vec{j},\vec{j'}\rangle = 2^{2n+1}\left(|\vec{j}\rangle|-\vec{j'}\rangle+|-\vec{j}\rangle|\vec{j'}\rangle\right). \tag{42}$$

It is interesting to observe that the states $|-\vec{j}\rangle$ and $-|\vec{j}\rangle$ are not equivalents, since $\vec{J}|-\vec{j}\rangle = -\vec{j}|-\vec{j}\rangle$ and $\vec{J}(-|\vec{j}\rangle) = \vec{j}(-|\vec{j}\rangle)$, what implies that the above state is in fact a entangled state. Note that the state (41) with (42) can be associated to the state of photons with orbital angular momentum states $|l\rangle$ entangled, experimentally verified [43,44,45], described by

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_l \sqrt{\lambda_l}|l\rangle|-l\rangle, \tag{43}$$

and $\langle\phi|l\rangle = e^{il\phi}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ where ϕ is the azimuthal angle.

In the general case the operator $\hat{D}^n_{ik}$ acts in the entanglement of two-particle states with torus topology (figure 1 and 2).



Fig. 2. (Color online) two-particle trajectories on Torus.

6. Geometrical and topological reduction from Torus to Mobius

In this section we arise to the question about if the topology of a manifold is sufficient to give a correct description of the physical states living there. In the reference [30], we show the reduction of the toroidal geometry to the Mobius strip due the use of suitable projection operators, starting from the torus as the original quantum phase space.

A position point in a Mobius strip geometry can be parameterized by means of specific points P_0 and P_1 given by

$$P_0 = (X_0, Y_0, Z_0) \tag{44}$$

$$P_1 = (X_0 + X_1, Y_0 + Y_1, Z_0 + Z_1) \tag{45}$$

where the coordinates of P_0 describe a central cylinder, generated from a invariant fiber in the middle of the strip weight, i.e.,

$$X_0 = R\cos\varphi \tag{46}$$

$$Y_0 = R\sin\varphi \tag{47}$$

$$Z_0 = l. \tag{48}$$

This is the topological invariant of the geometry under study. Here θ is the polar angle, measured from the axis z, and φ the azimuthal angle.

The coordinates of P_1, the boundaries of the Möbius band, are of P_0, the cylinder, plus

$$X_1 = r\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \tag{49}$$

$$Y_1 = r\sin\theta\sin\varphi, \tag{50}$$

$$Z_1 = r\cos\theta. \tag{51}$$



Fig. 3. (Color online) Torus.

The band has weight $2r$.

This Möbius strip configuration has a deep relation with the torus and it is a result of a reduction that changes both topology and geometry by means of a constraint

$$\theta = \frac{\varphi + \pi}{2}. \tag{52}$$

on the Torus geometry (figure 3) described by

$$\begin{aligned} X &= R\cos\varphi + r\ \sin\theta\ \cos\varphi, \\ Y &= R\ \sin\varphi + r\ \sin\theta\ \sin\varphi, \\ Z &= l + r\cos\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

An important point to enphasize is that the angles are no more independent in the case of the Möbius strip, leading to a special embedding from the Torus. In such situation, the geometry turns to be an unnoriented surface and the topological properties are also altered.

The consideration $r < R$ implies that the generated Möbius strip lives inside the torus. The parametrization associated to the Möbius strip (figure 4)is then given by Taking $R = 1$ and inserting (5) into (4) we obtain the parametrization of the band

$$X = \cos\varphi + r\cos(\varphi/2)\cos\varphi \tag{54}$$

$$Y = \sin\varphi + r\cos(\varphi/2)\sin\varphi \tag{55}$$

$$Z = l + r\sin(\varphi/2) \tag{56}$$



Fig. 4. (Color online) Mobius.

7. Lagrange's and Hamilton's of Torus and Mobius

Taking the parametrization of the Torus we can build the corresponding lagrangean

$$\begin{aligned} L_{Torus} = & \frac{m}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2\left[(R + r\ \sin\theta\ )^2 + \frac{r^2}{4}\right] \\ & + \frac{m}{2}\left(r\dot{\theta}\right)^2 - mr\ \sin\theta\ \dot{Z}_0\dot{\theta} \\ & + \frac{m}{2}\left(\dot{Z}_0\right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

We can note that by inserting the contraint associated to the reduction to a Möbius strip, eq. (52), the lagrangean describes the motion on Möbius strip L_{Mobius}. The Hamiltonian for the torus is easily computed from ($m = R = 1$)

$$H_{Torus} = p_\theta\dot{\theta} + p_\varphi\dot{\varphi} + p_{z_0}\dot{Z}_0 - L_{Torus}, \tag{58}$$

where we have

$$p_\varphi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{\varphi}} = J_0, \tag{59}$$

$$p_{z_0} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{Z}_0} = -r\ \sin\theta\dot{\theta} + \dot{Z}_0 = L_0, \tag{60}$$

$$p_\theta = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{\theta}} = r^2\dot{\theta} - r\ \sin\theta\ \dot{Z}_0. \tag{61}$$

This will lead to the following hamiltonian

$$H_{Torus} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{J_0^2}{(1 + r\ \sin\theta\ )^2} + \frac{(p_\theta + r\sin\theta L_0)^2}{(r\ \cos\theta\ )^2} + L_0^2\right],$$

by the insertion of eq. (52), the torus reduces to a Mobius strip, by Legendre transform L_{Mobius}, we arrive at the above with eq. (52), the Hamiltonian for Mobius H_{Mobius}.

The quantized version of both hamiltonians then describe quantum particles on a Torus and Mobius. Such description has a geometrical base. The deformation of particles turning around on such surfaces will not alter the topological structure. The inclusion of the constraint in the Torus, eq. (52), reduces the geometrically to a Mobius strip inside the Torus. The deformation of the Mobius leads to an intersection, but from the topological point of view the topological properties are preserved [30].

In order to study the coherent states (CS) associated to the Mobius strip, we analyse again the CS torus. As we saw previously, in the torus case, the coordinates θ and φ are absolutely independent. Thus, we assume two cylinder type parametrizations, one for $0 \leq l \leq \infty$ cylinder with angular variable φ and other one with finite $0 \leq l_2 \leq 2\pi \sin^2 \varphi$ $(R = 1)$

$$\xi_{Torus} = e^{-(l+r\ \cos\theta)+i\varphi}\,(1 + r\ \sin\theta)\, e^{-2\pi \sin^2 \varphi + k\theta} \tag{62}$$

where $i^2 = k^2 = -1$.

The above expression correspond to a geometrical factorization, leading to a physical decomposition for $|\xi_{Torus}\rangle$ that is useful for our proposal is the following

$$|\xi_{Torus}\rangle = \sum_{j,m=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{Mobius}^{-j} e^{-\frac{j^2}{2}} \xi^{-m} e^{-\frac{m^2}{2}}\, |j, m\rangle\,, \tag{63}$$

$$|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle = \sum_{j,m=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{Mobius}^{-j} e^{-\frac{j^2}{2}}\, |j, 0\rangle\,,$$

i.e., the Mobius strip has its portion splited from the the toroidal space

$$\xi_{Mobius} = e^{-(l-r\ \sin(\varphi/2))+ln(1+r\ \cos(\varphi/2))+i\varphi} \tag{63}$$

$$\xi = e^{-2\pi \sin^2 \varphi - r\ (\cos\theta + \sin(\varphi/2)) + ln\left(\frac{1+r\sin\theta}{1+r\ \cos(\varphi/2)}\right) + k\theta}, \tag{64}$$

this implies in geometrical and topological changes.

It is interesting to note that, although the topology of the torus is considered as the product of two cilinders, the introduction of the parameter l involving the z coordinate makes that the Torus becames the product of one cilinder with infinity longitude and other with longitude 2π. The link between geometrical description of the Torus and its topological equivalence to two cilinders is given by the following equivalent form for ξ_{Torus}

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{Torus} = {} & e^{-l+\frac{1}{2} sgn(\cos\theta)} \\ & + i\phi \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} sgn(\sin\theta)\right) e^{-2\pi \sin^2 \varphi + k\theta},\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$



Fig. 5. (Color online) One particle trajectory on Torus.

With this we can perform the projection from Torus phase space to the Mobius phase space by means of

$$\langle\langle \xi_{Mobius} \mid \xi'_{Mobius}\rangle\rangle = \frac{\langle \xi_{Torus} \,||\xi_{Mobius}\rangle \langle \xi_{Mobius}||\, \xi'_{Torus}\rangle}{\langle \xi^0_{Torus} \,|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle \langle \xi_{Mobius}|\, \xi^0_{Torus}\rangle},$$

$$= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(l'+h')j} e^{-i(\varphi-\psi)} e^{-j^2} \tag{66}$$

where $\left|\xi^0_{Torus}\right\rangle = |1_{Torus}\rangle = \sum_{j,m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{m^2+j^2}{2}} |j,m\rangle$. It is important to note that we can proceed other time performing the projection from the Mobius geometry to the circle straighforwardly obtaining the CS for the Bose case. Then the procedure of projections can be sinthetized in the following scheme

$$\begin{aligned} Torus &\rightarrow \text{reduction constraint} \\ &\rightarrow Mobius \text{ (fermion)} \\ &\rightarrow \text{reduction constraint} \\ &\rightarrow Circle \text{ (boson)} \end{aligned}$$

This in fact connects the aceptions of that the coherent states on Mobius topology as fermions and in the circle topology as bosons [30]. A periodic circular trajectory of 2π on Torus (figure 5) has a bosonic behaviour that can be deformed topologically without losing such characteristic. The Mobius the periodic trajectory of 4π (figure 6) has a fermionic behaviour and can also be deformed without alter the topological properties. Such behaviours in qubits operations acting with deformations that preserve topology.



Fig. 6. (Color online) One particle trajectory on Mobius.

8. Entangled states on Mobius

By considering the coherent states $|\xi\rangle$ on the Möbius strip, we see that their normalization is given in terms of Jacobi theta functions, as in the case of a torus

$$\langle\xi|\xi\rangle = \Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{\pi}\ln|\xi||\frac{i}{\pi}\right). \tag{67}$$

Taking the approximation formula for theta functions,

$$\Theta_3\left(\frac{i}{\pi}\ln|\xi||\frac{i}{\pi}\right) \approx e^{(\ln|\xi|)^2}\sqrt{\pi},$$

Then, the the projections relations for coherent states on a Mobius strip can be written as

$$\langle\xi|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(l'+\tilde{l}')j}e^{-i(\varphi-\tilde{\varphi})}e^{-j^2}, \tag{68}$$

where the variables with tilde correspond to the coherent state $|\tilde{\xi}\rangle$.

On a period in the Mobius strip, the state turns to the same initial state. In fact, this property for coherent states defined on a Mobius strip is a characteristic of the topology associated to this surface. Taking $|\xi\rangle = |\xi^{\varphi=0}\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = |\xi^{\varphi=4\pi}\rangle$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\xi^{\varphi=0}|\xi^{\varphi=4\pi}\rangle &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2lj+\ln(1+r)j}e^{-j^2} \\ &= e^{(2l+\ln(1+r))^2/4}\sqrt{\pi} \\ &\times \Theta_3\left(-\frac{(2l+\ln(1+r))\pi}{2})|e^{-\pi^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Using the projection in the form (68), we can write in a more simplified form

$$\langle \xi^{\varphi=0} | \xi^{\varphi=4\pi} \rangle = e^{4\pi i} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(l'+\tilde{l}')j} e^{-j^2}, \tag{70}$$

but as $e^{4\pi i} = 1$, we have that the state with a difference in the phase φ corresponding to the period of a Mobius will be the same $|\xi^{\varphi=0}\rangle$, as expected from the topology. Consequently, under a period of 4π the state turns to the same. Such behaviour is a characteristic of fermion spin variables and the entanglement and in fact can be associated to entanglements for fermionic systems.

Now let us consider the action of the operators restricted to the Mobius strip

$$e^{i\hat{\phi}_1} |j, 0\rangle = |j+1, 0\rangle \tag{71}$$

$$e^{-i\hat{\phi}_1} |j, 0\rangle = |j-1, 0\rangle \tag{72}$$

$$T|j, 0\rangle = |-j, 0\rangle. \tag{73}$$

As a consequence the action on the state

$$|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{Mobius}^{-j} e^{-\frac{j^2}{2}} |j, 0\rangle ,$$

will be

$$T\,|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle = \sum_{-j=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{Mobius}^{j} e^{-\frac{j^2}{2}} |-j, 0\rangle ,$$

And the state is invariant under the time inversion operator T

$$T\,|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle = |\xi_{Mobius}\rangle . \tag{74}$$

Now let us consider the operators

$$\hat{M}_{\pm} = \left(e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}_1} \otimes T + T^{-1} \otimes e^{\mp i\hat{\phi}_1} \right),$$

acting on $|j, 0\rangle|j', 0\rangle$. This will give

$$\hat{M}_s |j, 0\rangle|j', 0\rangle = |j+s, 0\rangle|-j', 0\rangle + |-j, 0\rangle|j'-s, 0\rangle,$$

where $s = \pm 1$. Let us now consider a two-particle coherent state on the Möbius strip (figure 7 and 8) given by

$$|\psi\rangle = |\xi_{Mobius}\rangle|\tilde{\xi}_{Mobius}\rangle. \tag{75}$$

Under the action of $\hat{M}_s$ we will have the entangled state

$$\hat{M}_s|\psi\rangle = (e^{is\hat{\phi}_1}|\xi\rangle)|\tilde{\xi}\rangle + |\xi\rangle(e^{-is\hat{\phi}_1}|\tilde{\xi}\rangle) \tag{76}$$

where

$$e^{is\hat{\phi}_1}\,|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{Mobius}^{j} e^{-\frac{j^2}{2}} |j+s, 0\rangle ,$$



Fig. 7. (Color online) Two-particle trajectories on Mobius.



Fig. 8. (Color online) two-particle trajectories on Mobius.

In a more general form, we can define

$$\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{M}^{(++)} & \hat{M}^{(+-)} \\ \hat{M}^{(-+)} & \hat{M}^{(--)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{77}$$

that are consistent with the time inversion T and the operator $e^{is\hat{\phi}_1}$, where

$$\hat{M}^{(ss')} = \left(e^{is\hat{\phi}_1} \otimes T + T^{-1} \otimes e^{is'\hat{\phi}_i} \right), \tag{78}$$

$s, s' = \pm$. The action on the state $|j,0\rangle|j',0\rangle$ will give

$$\hat{M}^{(ss')}|j,0\rangle|j',0\rangle = |j+s,0\rangle|-j',0\rangle + |-j,0\rangle|j'+s',0\rangle,$$

and on the state $|\xi\rangle|\tilde{\xi}\rangle$ will give

$$\hat{M}^{(ss')}|\xi\rangle|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = (e^{is\hat{\phi}_1}|\xi\rangle)|\tilde{\xi}\rangle + |\xi\rangle(e^{is'\hat{\phi}_1}|\tilde{\xi}\rangle) \tag{79}$$

We can consider the set of non-orthogonal measurements in terms of coherent states

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}^{(\leftarrow)} &= \sum_{\xi} |\xi\rangle\langle\xi| \otimes \hat{1},\\ \hat{\gamma}^{(\rightarrow)} &= \hat{1} \otimes \sum_{\xi} |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|,\\ \langle\xi|\tilde{\xi}\rangle &= g_{\xi\tilde{\xi}}\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Note that, if we consider the states normalized, this measurement turns a von-Neumann type measurement in each reduced space [43]. By acting on the state (79), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}^{(\rightarrow)}\hat{M}^{(ss')}|\xi\rangle|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = (e^{is\hat{\phi}_1}|\xi\rangle)\sum_{\xi'} g_{\xi'\tilde{\xi}}|\tilde{\xi}\rangle\\ + |\xi\rangle\sum_{\xi'}|\xi'\rangle\langle\xi'|(e^{is'\hat{\phi}_1}|\tilde{\xi}\rangle)\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}^{(\leftarrow)}\hat{M}^{(ss')}|\xi\rangle|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = \sum_{\xi'}|\xi'\rangle\langle\xi'|(e^{is\hat{\phi}_1}|\xi\rangle)|\tilde{\xi}\rangle\\ + \sum_{\xi'} g_{\xi'\xi}|\xi\rangle(e^{is'\hat{\phi}_1}|\tilde{\xi}\rangle)\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

The effective dimensionality of the Hilbert space can be computed in terms of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm

$$Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(\leftarrow)2}) = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(\rightarrow)2}) \tag{83}$$

Consider the density matrix associated to $\hat{M}^{(ss')}|\xi\rangle|\tilde{\xi}\rangle$ is given by $\hat{\rho}^{ss'}_{\xi\xi'}$, given by

$$\hat{\rho}^{ss'}_{\xi\tilde{\xi}} = \hat{M}^{(ss')}|\xi\rangle|\tilde{\xi}\rangle\langle\tilde{\xi}|\langle\xi|\hat{M}^{(ss')\dagger}, \tag{84}$$

then the associated entangled measurement can be calculated by means of projective measurements

$$r^{ss'}_{\xi} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(\leftarrow)}\hat{\rho}^{ss'}_{\xi\tilde{\xi}}), \tag{85}$$

$$r^{ss'}_{\tilde{\xi}} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(\rightarrow)}\hat{\rho}^{ss'}_{\xi\tilde{\xi}}), \tag{86}$$

compared to the corresponding measurements to the state $|\xi,\tilde{\xi}\rangle$, that are obtainded by doing $s \to 0$ and $s' \to 0$,

$$r^{0}_{\xi} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(\leftarrow)}\hat{\rho}^{0}_{\xi\tilde{\xi}}), \tag{87}$$

$$r^{0}_{\tilde{\xi}} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(\rightarrow)}\hat{\rho}^{0}_{\xi\tilde{\xi}}), \tag{88}$$



Fig. 9. (Color online) Intersection of Torus and Mobius.

The ratios

$$\lambda^{ss'} = \frac{r^{ss'}}{r^0} \tag{89}$$

give the measurement of the entanglement associated do the action of $\hat{M}^{(ss')}$.

9. Entangled states on Torus-Mobius

We can consider also coherent states pertaining to both spaces, i.e., Torus and Mobius, by means of products in the intersection, as

$$|\xi_{Torus}\rangle|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle. \tag{90}$$

since we derived the each coherent space separately for Torus and Mobius, we can consider the possibility of entanglement between them. Note that this is not an impossible case. In fact, the intersection of the Mobius strip and the torus can be a point of interaction between the particles (figure 9). Although each particle is confined to its surface (figure 10), the intersection can be used as a point of correlation. In fact, if we think in terms of orbital angular momentum and spin, we can associate the interaction to a spin-orbit coupling entanglement.

Let us consider an operator acting on the intersection of Torus and Mobius,

$$\hat{W}_{\bigcap} = \hat{W}^{(Torus)} \otimes \hat{1}^{(Mobius)} + \hat{1}^{(Torus)} \otimes \hat{W}^{(Mobius)}, \tag{91}$$

such that $\hat{1}^{(Mobius)}$ leaves the mobius state invariant and $\hat{1}^{(Torus)}$ leaves the torus state invariant. The action of $\hat{W}_{\bigcap}$ on $|\xi_{Torus}\rangle|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle$ will be given by

$$\hat{W}^{(Torus)}|\xi_{Torus}\rangle \otimes |\xi_{Mobius}\rangle + |\xi_{Torus}\rangle \otimes \hat{W}^{(Mobius)}|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle$$



Fig. 10. (Color online) one-particle trajectories in Torus and Mobius.

As an example we can calculate $(e^{i\hat{\phi}_1})_{\bigcap}$ where

$$(e^{i\hat{\phi}_1})_{\bigcap} = e^{i\hat{\phi}_1} \otimes \hat{1} + \hat{1} \otimes e^{i\hat{\phi}_1}, \tag{92}$$

since the $|j_1, j_2\rangle^{Torus}$ and $|j_1, 0\rangle^{Mobius}$, the action of the operator on these states will lead to $(e^{i\hat{\phi}_1})_{\bigcap}|j_1, j_2\rangle^{Torus} \otimes |j_1, 0\rangle^{Mobius} = |j+1, j'\rangle^{Torus} \otimes |j, 0\rangle^{Mobius} + |j, j'\rangle^{Torus} \otimes |j+1, 0\rangle^{Mobius}$. This will have effect in the coherent states by means of $(e^{i\hat{\phi}_1})_{\bigcap}|\xi_{Torus}\rangle|\xi_{Mobius}\rangle$. A corresponding density matrix associated to such state $\hat{\rho}^{Torus-Mobius}$

We can consider the set of non-orthogonal measurements in terms of the coherent states acting on Torus and Mobius

$$\hat{\gamma}^{(Torus)} = \sum_{\xi} |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|^{(Torus)} \otimes \hat{1}^{(Mobius)},$$
$$\hat{\gamma}^{(Mobius)} = \hat{1}^{(Torus)} \otimes \sum_{\xi} |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|^{(Mobius)},$$

$$\langle\xi|\tilde{\xi}\rangle^{(Torus)} = g^{(Torus)}_{\xi\tilde{\xi}},$$
$$\langle\xi|\tilde{\xi}\rangle^{(Mobius)} = g^{(Mobius)}_{\xi\tilde{\xi}}$$

This measurement turns to a von-Neumann type measurement if we normalize the states. The effective dimensionality of the Hilbert space can be computed in terms of the Hilbert-Schmidt norms

$$D^{(Torus)} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(Torus)2}) \tag{93}$$
$$D^{(Mobius)} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(Mobius)2}) \tag{94}$$

The measurement of entanglement can be calculated by means of projective measurements

$$r^{(Torus)} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(Torus)}\hat{\rho}^{(Torus-Mobius)}), \tag{95}$$

$$r^{(Mobius)} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(Mobius)}\hat{\rho}^{(Torus-Mobius)}), \tag{96}$$

compared to the corresponding measurements to the uncorrelated state $\hat{\rho}_0$,

$$r_0^{(Torus)} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(Torus)}\hat{\rho}_0), \tag{97}$$

$$r_0^{(Mobius)} = Tr(\hat{\gamma}^{(Mobius)}\hat{\rho}_0), \tag{98}$$

by means of the respective ratios

$$\lambda^{(Torus)} = \frac{r^{(Torus)}}{r_0^{(Torus)}}, \tag{99}$$

$$\lambda^{(Mobius)} = \frac{r^{(Mobius)}}{r_0^{(Mobius)}}, \tag{100}$$

that give the correlations corresponding to each state on Torus and Mobius.

10. Conclusion

We have considered the entanglement of quantum particles in non-trivial topologies, considering the cases of a Torus, Mobius strip and Torus-Mobius. We have derived the corresponding parametrizations in each case and developed appropriate operators. We have derived the geometrical lagrangeans and hamiltonians of Torus and Mobius that are associated to each other by means to a constraint that, from the topological point of view, is a topological reductions equivalent to cuts in the deformations. The quantum particle dynamics in the quantized form is a consequence the canonical quantization of the hamiltonians. From each case we derived the corresponding one and two-mode coherent states that are entangled by the action of the proper operators in each case. We also have shown the relation of the toroidal operators that lead to entanglement and $SU(1,1)$, that can lead to possible connections with supergravity models.

The Mobius is obtained by means of a reduction from the Torus by means of a constraint in the angular variables. This implies that the Mobius strip can be keept inside the Torus . By applying a deformation on the strip, the topological properties keep unaltered and we use it to build the corresponding coherent states associated to the Mobius topology starting from the Torus.

We have shown that the entangled state generated in the Torus has a characteristic of the experimentally verified photon entanglement by orbital angular momentum, such that the states on Torus behave like bosons as a special case. This is an important fact, since we can associate the entanglement in a torus to a photon entanglement by orbital angular momentum as a special case. On the other hand, the entanglement states associated to Mobius strip have the periodicity associated to fermions 4π and can be more appropriate to describe the entanglement

in fermionic systems. We also have considered the entanglement between Torus and Mobius in the intersection of Torus and Mobius with the action of operators defined in the intersection. Such situation can be originated in the case of an entanglement by spin-orbit coupling. By derive non-orthogonal measurements, equivalent to the von-Neumann type measurement for orthogonal states, we have shown that the generated entangled states can also be evaluated in a consistent way with the appropriate operators in each case.

The periodic trajectories on Torus (2π) and Mobius (4π) surfaces can be deformed topologically without alter the typical behaviours. Such behaviours are also reflected in the entangled states for Torus and Mobius and are important when we consider deformation operations. We have also shown that some operators leave the entangled states invariant, what is important in the case of protecting entanglement.

11. Acknowledgements

TP thanks CAPES (Brazil) and DJCL thanks CNPq (Brazil) for financial support.

References

[1] M. P. Almeida, et al., Science **316** (2007) 579.
[2] T. D. Ladd, et al., Nature **464** (2010) 45.
[3] D. Gottesman, I. L. Chuang, Nature **402** (1999) 390.
[4] W. K. Wootters, W. H. Zurek, Nature, **299** (1982) 802.
[5] D. Dieks, Phys. Lett. A, **92** (1982) 271.
[6] T. Prudêncio, Int. Journal of Quantum Information, **10** (2012) 1230001.
[7] T. Prudêncio, Int. Journal of Modern Physics A, **27** (2012) 1250124.
[8] S. Barz, et al. Science **335** (2012) 303.
[9] V. N. Golovach, M. Borhani, D. Loss,Phys. Rev. A **81** (2010) 022315.
[10] F. Shi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 040504.
[11] M. Abanto, L. Davidovich, B. Koiller, R. L. de Matos Filho, Phys. Rev. B **81** (2010) 085325.
[12] J. S. Neergaard-Nielsen, M. Takeuchi, K. Wakui, H. Takahashi, K. Hayasaka, M. Takeoka, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 053602.
[13] E. Schrödinger, Naturwissenschaften, **23** (1935) 807.
[14] G. Huyet, S. F-Arnold, S. M. Barnett, Phys. Rev. A, **63** (2001) 043812.
[15] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, N. Rosen, Physical Review **47** (1935) 777.
[16] Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 096407.
[17] L. Jiang, C. L. Kane, J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 130504.
[18] T.C.Ralph, G.J.Milburn,T.Downes, Phys. Rev. A, **79** (2009) 022121.
[19] J. Dziarmaga, W. H. Zurek, M. Zwolak, Nature Physics **8** (2012) 49.
[20] Z. L. Guo, Z. R. Gong, H. Dong, C. P. Sun, Phys. Rev. B **80** (2009) 195310.
[21] L-T. Huang, D-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **84** (2011) 193106.
[22] Z. R. Gong, Z. Song, C. P. Sun, arXiv: 0906.2593 (2009).
[23] X. Wang, X. Zheng, M. Ni, L. Zou, and Z. Zenga, Applied Physics Letters **97** (2010) 123103.
[24] K. E. Cahill, R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **177** (1969).
[25] M. S. Kim, W. Son, V. Buzek, P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A, **65** (2002) 032323.
[26] J. E. Bayfield and L. A. Pinnadmvage, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 313.

[27] J. M. Raimond, M. Brune, S. Haroche, Rev. Mod. Phys., **73** (2001) 565.
[28] K. Kowalski, J. Rembielinski, Phys. Rev. A, **75** (2007) 052102.
[29] Kowalski K et al 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 4149
[30] D. J. Cirilo-Lombardo,J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** (2012) 244026
[31] H. A. Kastrup, Phys. Rev. A **73** (2006) 052104.
[32] Cirilo-Lombardo D J 2009 Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 6 359
[33] J. P. Provost, G. Vallee, Commun. Math. Phys. **76** (1980) 289.
[34] J.P. Gazeau, Coherent States in Quantum Physics (2009)
[35] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B S, Coherent States: Applications in Physics and Mathemetical Physics, (1985).
[36] A. O. Barut, L. Girardello, Commun. Math. Phys. **21** (1971) 41.
[37] J. P. Gazeau, J. R. Klauder, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 123.
[38] J. A. Gonzalez, M. A. Olmo, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998) 8841.
[39] A. Kempf, J. R. Klauder, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 1019.
[40] A. Perelomov, Generalized Coherent States and their Applications (1986).
[41] D. J. Cirilo-Lombardo, Phys. Lett. B **661** (2008) 186.
[42] O. J. Farias et al., Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 012314.
[43] J. B. Pors et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 120502.
[44] S. P. Walborn, A. N. de Oliveira, R. S. Thebaldi, C. H. Monken, Phys. Rev. A **69** (2004) 023811.
[45] A. Vaziri, A.Mair, G. Weihs, A. Zeilinger, Nature, **412** (2001) 313.